This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,287	08/30/2001	Ivano Antonio Gagliardi	CM2422	9985
27752	7590 09/04/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			BOYER, CHARLES I	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OH VOH VIII	, 011		1751	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/939,287 Applicant(s)

Gagliardi et al

Examiner

Charles Boyer

Art Unit 1751



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period 1	for Reply	
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Ind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	,	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 30, 2	2001
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-14	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	:
11)		is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) L		
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		□
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:

Application/Control Number: 09/939287

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for specific polymers listed in the specification, does not reasonably provide enablement for an absorbent gelling material. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Virtually any absorbent material would meet the absorbent gelling material limitation of the present claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 11, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/939287 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a). Before citing the references against the present claims, the examiner would like to state for

the record that due to the inordinate breadth of the present claims, requiring only a process of treating a fabric with a composition containing a gelling material, the examiner maintains that a thorough search is impossible. Polymers are present in literally thousands of laundry detergent compositions. Even when applicants' preferred utility of carpet cleaning is considered, there are still at least scores of references that would anticipate at least claim 1 of the present application. The examiner has taken into consideration the present invention as a whole, in order to identify the closest prior art, which art is cited below. Applicants should be aware however, that there are many other references that could have been cited against the present invention. Any response from applicants to the references cited below that does not also address the fact that their claims

Application/Control Number: 09/939287

Art Unit: 1751

are extremely broadly written, together with a clear statement of what applicants consider to be the novelty of their invention, would likely not be successful in rendering those claims allowable.

4. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Levitt, US 6,326,344.

Levitt teaches a carpet cleaner containing a crosslinked acrylic acid copolymer (see abstract). An example of such a composition comprises a crosslinked acrylic acid copolymer, surfactant, and solvent wherein the composition is applied to carpet then extracted with water and commercial spotting extractor (col. 7, examples 1-5). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

5. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Colurciello, Jr. et al, US 5,683,976.

Colurciello teaches a flowable granular carpet cleaning composition (see abstract). An example of such a composition comprises comminuted cellulose, zeolite, surfactant, and solvent wherein the composition is applied to carpet, then vacuumed off (col. 13, examples 1-16). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

6. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Osberghaus et al, US 4,648,882.

Art Unit: 1751

Osberghaus et al teach a dry carpet cleaning composition (see abstract). An example of such a composition comprises polymethacrylic acid, zeolite, surfactant, and solvent wherein the composition is applied to carpet, worked into the carpet with water, then vacuumed off (col. 7, examples 2 and 7). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

7. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scialla et al, US 5,928,384.

Scialla et al teach liquid or granular carpet cleaning compositions (see abstract). An example of such a composition comprises maleic/acrylic acid copolymer, surfactant, and solvent wherein the composition is applied to carpet, then vacuumed off (col. 11, example 2). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art is cumulative to the references cited above.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Boyer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2524. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1751

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-after-final amendments and (703) 872-9311 for after-final amendments.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Charles Boyer

August 30, 2003